Development adviser: person specification

1. Purpose of development advisers

Development advisers are named individuals who are approved by the GDC (see section 4 below) to support dental professionals who need to develop their skills and competencies as a result of conditions or agreed undertakings.

Development advisers are responsible for guiding and supporting dental professionals in the areas of development or learning identified in the determination of a practice committee, or those detailed in a case examiner decision sheet. They also offer support to dental professionals in developing and keeping up to date a Personal Professional Development Plan (PPDP).

The role undertaken by development advisers can further enhance the skills and knowledge of those who take up the role in support of other dental professionals.

2. Development adviser: description of role

Development advisers are dental professionals who are appropriately trained to support dental professionals who are subject to conditions imposed by a practice committee, or agreed undertakings with a case examiner, as a result of a fitness to practise investigation.

Development advisers support the development and progress of the dental professional’s Personal Professional Development Plan (PPDP). This work includes advising and monitoring the progress the dental professional is making to address the issues identified by the decision-maker. They offer guidance on their development and will monitor progress against the agreed deadlines agreed in the PPDP.

Development advisers are responsible for reviewing the written evidence when it is complete and will provide the GDC and/or other relevant bodies with reports at the times specified in the conditions or undertakings, or at any time upon request.

Development advisers are not responsible for supervising the clinical practice of dentists or dental care professionals.

Person specification: essential and desirable

The development adviser must be:

- Registered with the GDC i.e., a trained dental professional.
- Be compliant with CPD requirements.

In addition to the above essential elements that a development adviser must have, detailed below are education, training and/or skills that are desirable:

- Postgraduate qualification in medical education, coaching, mentoring or leadership.
- Development of Personal Development Plans and mapping CPD.
- Knowledge of development tools to increase insight and self-awareness.
- Knowledge of relevant workplace-based assessments, e.g., audit, case-based discussion.
- Knowledge of current standards and guidelines in dentistry.
3. Approval of roles for conditions and undertakings cases

Dental professionals who are subject to conditions or agreed undertakings will be required to identify and nominate a development adviser. Persons agreeing to undertake this role will charge a fee for the service to be paid by the dental professional. Anyone nominated for this role by a dental professional must be approved by the GDC. The information below provides guidance to external parties on the approval process.

The GDC caseworker dealing with the management of the case in the Case Review Team (CRT) will request a full CV of the person nominated to be the development adviser from the dental professional, which needs to include:

- GDC registration number.
- Job title and summary of their job role.
- Employment history.
- Information demonstrating that the nominated development adviser meets the person specification (see section 3 above).
- Confirmation that there are no known conflicts of interest. NB: a conflict of interest may include a personal relationship involving a family relative and/or a financial relationship such as an expense sharing arrangement.

The CRT will also send any nominated person a ‘Development adviser agreement form’, which they must complete and return before any final approval can be given.

The GDC will consider the following factors when deciding whether to approve a nomination of a development adviser:

- Has the nominee been qualified for an adequate period - some guidance has been given in the case of GDC v Steven Bal Sharma [PCC 2010] - indicating that 10 years or more would be preferable, but that four to five years’ experience may be acceptable?
- Do they have appropriate experience?
- Do they have any:
  - current fitness to practise cases
  - any previous fitness to practise matters, where a warning has been issued
  - any fitness to practise matter referred to the Interim Order Committee and/or a practice committee, or
  - are they subject to undertakings?
- Are they suitably qualified and trained to support, guide and monitor the dental professional in meeting the areas of concern identified in conditions or agreed undertakings, and familiar with the scope of practice?
- Are there any known conflicts of interest? (See NB above.)
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